IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.H.L.DATTU
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.T.SANKARAN

FRIDAY, THE 13TH JULY 2007 / 22ND ASHADHA 1929

WA.No. 1717 of 2007
---

AGAINST THE ORDER IN WPC.3883/2005 Dated 22/03/2007
.....

APPELLANT: 1ST RESPONDENT
---

TIRUR MUNICIPALITY REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY,
TIRUR, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.T.L.ANANTHASIVAN

RESPONDENTS: PETITIONER, 2ND RESPONDENT AND ADDL. RESPONDENTS
---

1. T.P.ALIKUTTY (DIED),
PARAPPURAM HOUSE, KOTT-PAYYANGADI, TIRUR,
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.

2. KERALA STATE POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD, REPRESENTED
BY ITS SECRETARY, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

ADDITIONAL RESPONDENTS IN WP(C) 3883/2005

3. PATHUMMA, W/O. LATE MUHAMMED, PARAPPURAM HOUSE,
PAYYANGADI, TIRUR.

4. NAFISU, W/O. LATE ALIKUTTY, PARAPPURAM HOUSE,
PAYYANGADI, TIRUR.

5. SABINA, D/O. LATE ALIKUTTY, PARAPPURAM HOUSE,
PAYYANGADI, TIRUR.

6. SAMEERALI, S/O. LATE ALIKUTTY, PARAPPURAM HOUSE,
PAYYANGADI, TIRUR.

7. SAMARUDDIN, S/O. LATE ALIKUTTY, PARAPPURAM HOUSE,
PAYYANGADI, TIRUR.

8. BABU NASEEF, S/O. LATE ALIKUTTY, PARAPPURAM HOUSE,
PAYYANGADI, TIRUR.

R2 BY ADV. SRI.M.K.CHANDRA MOHANDAS,
STANDING COUNSEL, POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD
SRI.P.CHANDRASEKHARAN
SRI.K.RAMACHANDRAN

THIS WRIT APPEAL HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 13/07/2007,
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

**H.L. DATTU, C.J. & K.T. SANKARAN, J.**

---

**W.A.No. 1717 of 2007**

---

**Dated, this the 13th day of July, 2007**

**JUDGMENT**

**H.L. DATTU, CJ.**

The defects pointed out by the registry is overlooked for the present.

2. Being aggrieved by the interim order passed by the learned Single Judge in W.P.(C) No.3883 of 2005 dated 22nd March 2007, the respondent in the writ petition is before us in this appeal. By the aforesaid interim order the learned Single Judge has only directed the Municipality to consider the request made by the petitioner for renewal of the licence and pass appropriate orders.

3. The interim order so passed by the learned Single Judge, in our opinion, in no way affects the interests of the Municipality. Therefore, we do not intend to interfere with the order passed by the learned Single Judge. Therefore this appeal requires to be rejected. Accordingly this writ appeal is rejected. Ordered accordingly.

**H.L. DATTU,**
**CHIEF JUSTICE.**

**K.T. SANKARAN,**
**JUDGE.**

mt/